Cultural Self-Adaptive Multimodal Gesture Generation Based on Multiple Culture Gesture Dataset

Anonymous Author(s)



Figure 1: The illustrations of cultural differences in gestures from our MCGD. The cultures from left to right: English, Spanish, and Chinese. When referring to the past, different cultures prefer to use different gestures due to cultural influence [35] (English: move hands backward, Spaninsh: swing arm from chest to right, Chinese: swing arm to right at a lower level).

ABSTRACT

Co-speech gesture generation is essential for multimodal chatbots and agents. Previous research extensively studies the relationship between text, audio, and gesture. Meanwhile, to enhance crossculture communication, culture-specific gestures are crucial for chatbots to learn cultural differences and incorporate cultural cues. However, culture-specific gesture generation faces two challenges: lack of large-scale, high-quality gesture datasets that include diverse cultural groups, and lack of generalization across different cultures. Therefore, in this paper, we first introduce a Multiple Culture Gesture Dataset (MCGD), the largest freely available gesture dataset to date. It consists of ten different cultures, over 200 speakers, and 10,000 segmented sequences. We further propose a Cultural Self-adaptive Gesture Generation Network (CSGN) that takes multimodal relationships into consideration while generating gestures using a cascade architecture and learnable dynamic weight. The CSGN adaptively generates gestures with different cultural characteristics without the need to retrain a new network. It extracts cultural features from the multimodal inputs or a cultural style embedding space with a designated culture. We broadly evaluate our method across four large-scale benchmark datasets. Empirical results show that our method achieves multiple cultural gesture generation and improves comprehensiveness of multimodal inputs. Our method improves the state-of-the-art average FGD from 53.7 to 48.0 and culture deception rate (CDR) from 33.63% to 39.87%.

CCS CONCEPTS

 Computing methodologies → Animation; Artificial intelligence; Supervised learning.

KEYWORDS

co-speech gesture generation, datasets, multimodal chatbots, evaluation metric, nonverbal behavior

1 INTRODUCTION

Multimodal co-speech gesture generation is essential for developing social chatbots and agents [21, 40]. The gestures not only complement speech and add non-verbal information that helps listeners to concentrate and understand utterances [10, 13], but also improve the intimacy between humans and multimodal chatbots [61]. However, co-speech gesture generation is a challenging problem because learning and generating gestures have to comprehend the complex relationship between speech, gestures, and other different modalities [40, 67].

Gesture is unique [53], as different cultures [19] influence speakers to use different styles of motion [35]. For example, when referring to the past, English speakers often move their hands backward to indicate the past [6]. Spanish speakers, on the other hand, prefer to swing their arm from the chest to the left [46]. Meanwhile, Chinese speakers tend to swing their arm to the left at a lower level [9] (Fig. 1). While recent research on co-speech gesture generation extensively studies the integration and relationship of multiple modalities, such as audio [21, 39], text [4, 5, 8], emotion [8, 40], speaker identity [40, 67] and so on, culture-specific gesture genenration remains unsolved and presents several challenges.

The first challenge comes with the quality and scale of available datasets. Previous methods [43, 56, 63] are mainly trained on English-language multimodal datasets, such as GSD [54], YouTube Gesture [21], and TED Gesture [67]. However, most available datasets, as shown in Table. 1, have either only one speaker [17] or many speakers [21, 23, 58, 67] from same culture (mostly in English). Currently, BEAT [40] is proposed, including four different languages, but over 81% of the data is in English. However, people from different cultures exhibit distinct gesture characteristics in various circumstances, such as agreement [19], rejection [24], and onlinetalking [64]. Therefore, it is crucial for multimodal chatbots to learn culture-specific gestures when communicating with users from 117

118

119

120

121

123

124

125

126

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

175

176

177

178

179 180

181

182

183

184 185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

Table 1: Comparison of datasets. We compare with several gesture datasets that are used more frequently in the community. "#" indicates the number. The best scores are reported in bold. Our dataset is the largest freely available gesture dataset with multiple cultures and will provide a great contribution to the efforts in developing naturalistic chatbots in different cultures.

Dataset	#Modality	# Speaker	Audio (Culture)	Text	# Sequence	Duration [hrs]
MPI [59]	3	1	-	\checkmark	1,408	1.5
Takechi [54]	3	2	Jp	-	1,049	5.0
YouTube Gesture [21, 23]	5	6	En	-	N/A	33
Taking16.2M [38]	5	50	En	\checkmark	N/A	50
TED Gesture [67, 68]	4	>100	En	\checkmark	1,400	97
BEAT [40]	7	30	En/Cn/Es/Jp	\checkmark	2,508	76
MCGD (Ours)	5	263	En/Cn/Es/Jp/Kr Fr/It/Ru/De/Ar	\checkmark	10,414	103

different native cultures. Building a multiple native culture dataset will greatly improve the performance of naturalistic multimodal chatbots facing cross-culture situations.

The second challenge is the lack of capability for generalization and flexibility across different languages. Current approaches are typically designed to learn co-speech gesture characteristics from a collection of videos of a single culture [21, 40, 43, 67]. Facing each new culture, they are required to retrain the model, which can be time- and resource-consuming [37]. Hence, methods that can self-adapt to multiple cultures and generate culture-specific gestures are significant for developing multimodal chatbots.

Motivated by the challenges outlined above, we first introduce 144 a pseudo-label dataset named Multiple Culture Gesture Dataset 145 (MCGD), which contains ten different cultures represented by over 146 200 speakers across five modalities. Building on the methodology 147 of Lotfian and Busso [41], our data collection and annotation pro-148 cess is flexible and scalable, resulting in 103 hours of audiovisual 149 data and more than 10,000 segmented sequences (Sec. 3). We care-150 fully designed the datasets to cover a wide range of natural lan-151 guage characteristics, including the ratio of male/female, range of phonemes, and variety of languages. Furthermore, we further 153 observed the correlation of gestures with different cultures after 154 statistical analyses on MCGD (an example in Fig. 1). Overall, the 155 MCGD is the largest freely available gesture dataset to date, con-156 sisting of ten different cultures and over 200 speakers. 157

Additionally, we propose a Cultural Self-adaptive Gesture Generation Network (CSGN). It learns to synthesize gestures based on the three modalities mentioned above (text, audio, and culture) and adapts to multiple cultures by parameter sharing. The proposed method consists of cascaded encoders and dynamic weights that enhance the contribution of audio and text features. It extracts cultural features from the multimodal inputs of different cultures or a cultural style embedding space with a designated culture. Moreover, 165 we use a classification network to classify latent codes of culture to avoid trivial solutions and encourage each cultural feature to have spatial diversity distance from each other (Sec. 5.3).

Besides, to evaluate the performance of CSGN in generating different cultural gestures, we further propose a Culture Deception Rate (CDR), inspired by the deception rate used in artist-style transfer [14, 52]. The CDR calculates the rate at which the network classifies the generated gestures into the correct cultural features.

Through qualitative and quantitative experiments, our method achieves adaptive culture-specific gesture generation. The generated gestures are unique to different cultures and preserve diversity (Fig. 7 (c)), and increase the state-of-the-art Culture Deception Rate (CDR) by 18.6% (Sec. 5.3). We further compare several models using different generated architecture, such as adversarial training [67], quantization [43], and flow-based [3, 26]. The results show that our method gets state-of-the-art performance and improves the average FGD [67] from 53.7 to 48.0 (Sec. 5.3).

Extensive experiments demonstrate that our approach is highly effective, achieving state-of-the-art performance on four large-scale benchmark datasets. In summary, our main contributions are:

• We release a Multiple Culture Gesture Dataset (MCGD), which is the largest freely available co-speech gesture dataset to date, consisting of ten different cultures, over 200 speakers, 10,000 segmented sequences, and a wide range of natural language characteristics. The MCGD may provide a great contribution to the efforts in developing naturalistic multimodal chatbots in different cultures.

• We propose a Cultural Self-adaptive Gesture Generation Network (CSGN). It adapts to multiple cultures and learns the relationship with audio, text, and culture through cascaded architecture. We further introduce a new indicator Culture Deception Rate (CDR) to evaluate the performance of culture-specific gesture generation.

• We broadly evaluate our approach across four large-scale datasets with several state-of-the-art gesture generation methods. Quantitative and qualitative evaluation results demonstrate the improvements in our method are concise and effective.

2 RELATED WORK

Co-speech Gesture Datasets. The co-speech gesture datasets support the development of multimodal chatbots and agents. These datasets are used to study co-speech gestures in natural settings using data-driven approaches [21, 40, 43, 67]. Additionally, psychologists use these datasets to study various aspects of co-speech gesture [33, 53] (see Wagner et al. [60] for a review).

Existing gesture datasets are annotated from two perspectives: motion capture and pseudo-labeling. The former involves precisely and accurately capturing the motion process through the use of motion capture devices, such as the MPI [59], Takechi [54], and Taking16.2M [38]. Recently, Liu et al. [40] build a large body expression audio-text dataset (BEAT), which captures the co-speech gestures of

Cultural Self-Adaptive Multimodal Gesture Generation Based on Multiple Culture Gesture Dataset

MM '23, October 29-November 03, 2023, Ottawa, Canada

30 speakers expressing eight different emotions. The other tries to
annotate the gestures with the help of deep learning algorithms. Ginosar et al. [21] create a YouTube Dataset which uses OpenPose [12]
to extract 2D key poses from YouTube videos, and Habibie et al.
[23] extent it to a full 3D body with facial landmarks. Recently,
Yoon et al. [67] build a TED dataset using VideoPose3D [48].

However, the resources currently developed for other cultures 239 are few and of different domains [16, 56]. In fact, between the 240 241 359 multimodal resources certified for all languages by the LRE 242 map [49], most languages have only one or two freely available datasets while English has over 100. In order to ensure that multi-243 modal chatbots are able to adapt to local users, it is important to 244 train them on native datasets rather than simply translating text 245 from English datasets [32]. Though BEAT [40] supports four differ-246 ent languages, it only includes data from ten individuals, and over 247 248 81% of the data is based on English.

To address this limitation and to increase the dataset's native adaptability and scale, we introduce the Multiple Culture Gesture Dataset (MCGD). This dataset includes data from ten cultures, with each culture having over 20 people to reduce individual bias. We believe the MCGD will make a significant contribution to efforts aimed at developing naturalistic chatbots in different cultures.

255 Conditional Conversational Gesture Generation. Previous works are released with one or two modalities as conditions, such as 256 text-gesture generation [68], audio-gesture generation [17, 21, 54] 257 and audio-text-gesture generation [40, 67]. Early models are mainly 258 based on CNN [37] or LSTM [28] for end-to-end training. Recently, 259 several efforts try to improve the performance by using generative 260 261 adversarial networks (GAN) [18, 62, 63], quantization [43], flowbased [3, 26] and various types of synthesis techniques [1, 42]. 262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

However, previous research mainly focuses on the text or audio as conditions, ignoring the cultural influence. Recent works on multimodal chatbots and gestural analyses highlight the significant impact of culture on the use of gestures. Trotta and Guarasci [56] analyze the co-gesture behaviors of several Italian and English politicians during face-to-face interviews, while Gander et al. [19] explore how communicative gestures are used to express agreement in first encounters by Swedish and Chinese. Additionally, the cultural influence on other languages is also explored according to recent research, such as Finnish [55] and Danish [47].

Although the Mix-StAGE [3] and MultiContext [67] consider individual speaker's style and video's ID, respectively, the cultural characteristics can differ significantly between individuals. We propose the CSGN which is designed to adapt multiple languages without requiring retraining a new network and achieves state-ofthe-art performance across four large-scale benchmark datasets.

3 MCGD: MULTIPLE CULTURE GESTURE DATASET

In this section, we provide a brief overview of the proposed Multiple Culture Gesture Dataset (MCGD). We first describe the data acquisition process and the annotation procedure. Then, we analyze the differences and similarities between different cultures using MCGD, and present some key distributions. Supplementary materials provide more detailed information about our dataset.



Figure 2: Distributions of our MCGD. (a) Our dataset includes ten cultures, and the proportion of each culture is approximately the same. (b) Gender distribution of each culture. (c) Gestures are divided into four scenes which mainly consist of speech. (d) By 263 speakers from ten cultures with different recording duration.

3.1 Data Acquisition

The data acquisition process of MCGD is designed to be flexible and scalable to create favorable conditions for generating gestures.

The first step is to select candidate self-speech recordings from multiple video-sharing websites. As suggested by Vidal et al. [58], the videos that are selected need to have frontal body when speaking, clear speech, and no background music. Videos that are outdated, of low resolution, or featuring music performances or interviews are excluded. The second step involves strictly controlling the proportion of languages as well as accents to ensure the generalization capability to different cultures. The videos are then segmented into separate short video groups. The final step in this process is to manually annotate the identities of the participants in the videos. Each participant is given a unique ID number.

In total, we collect 10,414 segments, consisting of ten different cultures. Each culture contains at least 20 native speakers (around half of whom are female) and over ten hours of videos. More distributions of our dataset are shown in Fig. 2, and additional information can be found in the supplementary materials.

3.2 Data Annotation

The annotation of MCDG refers to BEAT [40] and TED Gesture [68]. Multimodal signals are divided into text, audio, culture, and gesture.

For the text translation and alignment, we use an in-house-built Automatic Speech Recognizer (ASR) to translate the initial text from the videos and proofread it by at least two native annotators. The inter-coder reliability checking is performed independently by other annotators. The inter-coder agreement for translation reached a Cohen's kappa score in the range 0.75-0.80 [45]. Finally, we adopt Montreal Forced Aligner (MFA) [44] for temporal alignment of the text with audio.

For the gesture annotation, we represent the speakers' pose over time using a temporal stack of 2D skeletal keypoints [21], which we obtain using OpenPose [12]. From the complete set of keypoints detected, we select ten points corresponding to the head, neck, R/L shoulders, R/L elbows, R/L wrists, and R/L hands to represent gestures. We further converted all human poses to 3D by using the 3D pose estimator [48] which converts a sequence of 2D poses into 3D poses. Together with the video footage, we provide the skeletal keypoints for each frame of the data at 15 FPS. Note that these are not ground truth annotations, but rather a proxy for the ground truth obtained from a state-of-the-art pose detection system.

In addition, we replicate the online approach proposed in Lotfian and Busso [41], which is a modified version of the crowd-sourcing protocol presented by Burmania et al. [11]. This approach tracks the performance of workers in real-time, stopping the annotation when their quality drops below a certain threshold.

4 METHOD

What is culture-specific gesture? Specifically, in a given culture, individuals often have their own unique gesture customs, but overall, these gestures share common characteristics. Given raw audio or text of a speech, our goal is to not only generate the speaker's corresponding gesture motion, but also adapt to multiple cultural characteristics without training a new network.

To integrate all the modalities and incorporate cultural features, we propose a cultural self-adaptive gesture generation network (CSGN). The structure of our framework (Fig. 3) contains three main components: (1) a multi-stage, cascade architecture that encodes the input modalities and assigns weights for different modalities dynamically; (2) a cultural self-adaptive module that extracts the characteristics of multiple cultures; (3) an autoregressive transformer decoder for predicting gestures.

4.1 Text and Audio Encoder

Text Encoder. The input text is a sequence of words whose length varies according to the speed of speech. We first insert padding tokens (\diamond) into the word sequence to make it the same length as gestures [67]. Next, the input text is passed by a culture identification model [30, 31] and then the word in each frame is converted to word embedding $\mathbf{w}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{300}$ by the pre-trained model in FastText-multilingual [22] to reduce dimensions. After that, the customized text encoder E_T , an 4-layer temporal convolution network (TCN) [7] with skip connections [25], extracts the features of the text \mathbf{Z}^T :

$$\mathbf{W}^T = (\mathbf{w}_{i-f}^T, ..., \mathbf{w}_{i+f}^T)$$
(1)

$$\mathbf{Z}^T = (\mathbf{z}_{i-f}^T, ..., \mathbf{z}_{i+f}^T) = E_T(\mathbf{w}_{i-f}^T, ..., \mathbf{w}_{i+f}^T)$$
(2)

For each frame *i*, the encoder E_T generates the final latent features of text by fusing information from 2f = 34 frames. The set of word embedding and features are denoted as $\mathbf{W}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2f \times 300}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2f \times 128}$, respectively.

Audio Encoder. Since the length of audio input is usually fixed, we represent audio using its raw waveform. We downsample the audio to 16K HZ, considering the audio as 15 FPS. The audio encoder E_A extracts the audio feature $\mathbf{Z}^A \in \mathbb{R}^{2f \times 128}$ as:

$$\mathbf{Z}^{A} = (\mathbf{z}_{i-f}^{A}, ..., \mathbf{z}_{i+f}^{A}) = E_{A}(audio, Mel(audio))$$
(3)

Where the *Mel*() represents the Mel Spectrogram of audio.

Additionally, to assign weights to audio and text and to enhance their relevance, we fuse the Z^A and Z^T using a learnable attention mechanism. Specifically, we compute the attention weight γ_i for each frame *i* as:

$$\gamma_i = \frac{exp(\mathbf{W}_a \mathbf{z}_i^A + \mathbf{W}_t \mathbf{z}_i^I + b)}{\sum_{j=-f}^{f} exp(\mathbf{W}_a \mathbf{z}_{i+j}^A + \mathbf{W}_t \mathbf{z}_{i+j}^T + b)}$$
(4)

where \mathbf{W}_a and \mathbf{W}_t are learnable weights and b is a bias term. We compute the fused feature \mathbf{z}_i^{AT} as the weighted sum of \mathbf{z}_i^A and \mathbf{z}_i^T :

$$\mathbf{z}_{i}^{AT} = \gamma_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i}^{A} + (1 - \gamma_{i}) \mathbf{z}_{i}^{T}$$
(5)

the resulting feature $\mathbf{Z}^{AT} = (\mathbf{z}_{i-f}^{AT}, ..., \mathbf{z}_{i+f}^{AT})$ has the same shape as \mathbf{Z}^A and \mathbf{Z}^T , and contains information from both modalities

4.2 Cultural Self-adaptive Module

Our cultural self-adaptive module has two parts: (1) the culture characteristic extractor E_C ; (2) the cultural embedding space.

The extractor E_C extracts the cultural feature $\mathbf{Z}^C \in \mathbb{R}^{2f \times 64}$ from the word embedding set \mathbf{W}^T and the penultimate layer's output $\mathbf{Z}^{A'}$ of audio encoder E_A .

$$\mathbf{Z}^{C} = P(\mathbf{z}^{C}) = P(E_{C}(\mathbf{W}^{T}, \mathbf{Z}^{A'}))$$
(6)

To ensure the correct decomposition of cultural feature Z^C and avoid trivial solutions, a classification network CCN_1 is used to classify the culture. We add an average pooling layer before classification to prevent E_C from homogenizing the culture features and to preserve diversity [14]. Experiments (Fig. 7) demonstrate our method is capable of achieving culture-specific gesture generation.

Furthermore, facing users who want to replace cultural features from input modalities with another culture, we add a cultural style embedding space, which converts cultural style to another or a specific style at the synthesis phase. It utilizes the culture IDs to reflect the characteristics of each culture in the dataset. The cultural style embedding space is a pre-trained and comprehensive feature space, which is learned from our dataset. Each culture in the embedding space is not unique. Meanwhile, to make the cultural features in embedding space more interpretable, variational inference [34, 50] that uses a probabilistic sampling process is used. The training and structure details of cultural embedding space are in the appendix.

4.3 Gesture Decoder

The gesture decoder G takes encoded features of audio and text as input, after fusing the cultural characteristic, and then generates gestures. Instead of taking a sequence of human poses as gestures, we represent each pose as directional vectors which represent the relative positions of the child joints from the parent joints and are favored for training [67]. We have nine directional vectors (e.g.,

MM '23, October 29-November 03, 2023, Ottawa, Canada



Figure 3: The overview of the proposed co-speech gesture generation network that generates the speaker's corresponding gesture motion and adapts to multiple cultural characteristics without training a new network. With a cascaded architecture and learnable dynamic weights, it enhances the relationship between text and audio. Furthermore, it extracts cultural features from the multimodal inputs or a cultural style embedding space with a designated culture.

spine-neck, neck-R/L shoulders, etc.) and each vector has three values indicating the 3-dimensions.

Specifically, for gesture generation, we use a transformer architecture which is a customized conditioned GPT-2 [65] with mask self-attention. For each training sample, the decoder *G* predicts a sequence of gestures according to the encoded features of text, audio, culture characteristics, and pre-gestures:

$$\mathbf{Z}^{M} = (\mathbf{z}_{i-f}^{M}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{i+f}^{M}) = Fusion(\mathbf{Z}^{AT}, \mathbf{Z}^{C})$$
(7)

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}} = (\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i-f}, ..., \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i+f}) = G(\mathbf{Z}^M, gestures_{pre})$$
(8)

Where the final estimated gesture $\hat{\mathbf{V}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2f \times 27}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^M \in \mathbb{R}^{2f \times 300}$ is the fused features for all modalities. For Eq. 8, the length for pre-gestures is the initial four frames.

4.4 Overall Training

For the performance of gesture generation, we use Huber loss [29]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{rec} = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2f}HuberLoss(\mathbf{V}, \hat{\mathbf{V}})\right]$$
(9)

However, Huber loss suffers from the known issue of regression to the mean which produces overly smooth motion [21]. Therefore, we further add an adversarial loss to combat it and ensure the realistic of gestures:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GAN,adv} = -\mathbb{E}[\log(D(G(\mathbf{Z}^M, gestures_{pre})))]$$
(10)

where the discriminator D input to the adversarial training is only the gesture itself. Additionally, we add a culture classification network (CCN_2) to encourage generator G to produce gestures that are culturally diverse and can be distinguished from each other. The loss function of the CCN_1 and CCN_2 :

$$\mathcal{L}_{cls} = \alpha \mathcal{L}_{ce}(CCN_1(P(\mathbf{z}^C)), l) + (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{L}_{ce}(CCN_2(\hat{\mathbf{V}}), l) \quad (11)$$

where *P*, *l* and \mathcal{L}_{ce} represent the average pooling layer, label and cross-entropy loss, respectively. We also adopt a weight α to balance the weight of *CCN*₁ and *CCN*₂. After that, during training, we adjust the weights of each loss and the final loss function is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \beta_0 \mathcal{L}_{rec} + \beta_1 \mathcal{L}_{GAN,adv} + \beta_2 \mathcal{L}_{cls} \tag{12}$$

where the weights for loss terms β_0 , β_1 and β_2 are determined experimentally. The detailed implementations of our networks are in the supplementary materials.

4.5 Culture Deception Rate (CDR)

While several metrics [21, 40, 67] are proposed to evaluate the quality of gesture generation, until now no evaluation metric has been proposed for an automatic evaluation of specific-style gesture generation. We propose the culture deception rate (CDR) to evaluate the cultural relevancy of gestures, which is inspired by deception rate [52] from style transfer [20]. To compute the CDR, we use a customized ResNet [25] for time series classification as backbone and trained from scratch to classify gestures from different cultures using our MCGD. The culture deception rate is calculated as the fraction of generated gestures that are correctly classified by the network. To ensure a fair comparison, we train the other methods for different cultures separately. The experiments for CDR are conducted on Sec. 5.3. More details are shown in the appendix.

5 EXPERIMENTS

We conduct extensive experiments to evaluate our method, including qualitative comparisons of gesture generation results synthesized by our model and other baselines in Section 5.2, followed by presenting quantitative results in Section 5.3. Finally, in Section 5.4, we conduct ablation studies to validate the effectiveness of each component.

MM '23, October 29-November 03, 2023, Ottawa, Canada



Figure 4: Qualitative comparisons of (a) Joint-Embedding, (b) MultiContext, (c) ATG, (d) CaMN, and (e) Ours for the same input speech. The first seven images show seven evenly sampled frames from the resulting pose sequences. The last column shows motion history images in which all frames are superimposed. Please see the supplementary video for animated results.

5.1 Experimental Setup

Datasets We evaluate the performance on the following three large datasets for single-cultural gesture generation: (1) YouTube Gesture [21], (2) TED Gesture [68], and (3) BEAT [40]. For multiple cultural gesture generation, we use MCGD for training and testing. All gesture poses are resampled at 15 frames per second and each training sample has 34 frames which are sampled with a stride of 10 from the video sections. Note that in the inference stage, the initial four frames are used as seed poses and the models are trained to generate the remaining 30 poses (2 seconds).

Baselines To evaluate the performance of our method, we compare several state-of-the-art architectures, such as Seq2Seq [68], Speech2Gesture [21], Joint-Embedding [2], Audio2Gesture [39], MultiContext [67],CaMN [40] and ATG [43]. Among them, Seq2Seq [68], Joint-Embedding [2] and Audio2Gesture [39] are based on the CNN or LSTM for end-to-end training. Speed2Gesture [21] uses adversarial training to improve performance. MultiContext [67] and CaMN [40] learn features with cascaded network architectures. And ATG [43] combines the vector quantisation (VQ-VAE) [57] with GPT [65] as a two-stage method. All baselines are trained using publicly available implementations with default configurations.

5.2 Qualitative Results

We qualitatively compare our co-speech gesture generation results to the baselines in Fig. 4. More results of our method under different conditions are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. The qualitative results in Fig. 5 show some common characteristics of gestures. For instance, gesture generation depends on speech rhythm and presence or gesture generation depends on speech rhythm and presence or



Figure 5: Sample results of general co-speech generation. The generated results show common characteristics based on the speech rhythm, emotion, context, and presence or absence of speech.

absence of speech in (a). Additionally, when referring to a range (e.g., from A to B, between A and B, etc.), gestures tend to swing from one direction to the other, such as left to right in (b). Furthermore, when encountering strong emotional expressions, the model often synthesis the gestures that open the arms as shown in (c).

While retaining common characteristics of gestures, we achieve differences across different cultures. We find that compared with other cultures, the gestures generated by Arabic prefer to use the right hand for more motions with the same multimodal inputs as shown in Fig. 6 (a) (b). Because the concept of the left hand is considered unclean in Arab culture [15, 36]. While speaking of "I", all cultures show relatively high consistency, typically using the left, right, or both hands to point towards oneself, as shown in Fig. 6 (c). When talking about the future, generated by English prefer to move their hand to the left, by Chinese prefer to swing arm to the left, and Arab pinch virtual timelines with their fingers and slide to the left in Fig. 6 (d).

All gestures are depicted using a 3D dummy character. The poses represented as directional vectors are retargeted to the character with fixed bone lengths, and the gesture sequences are upsampled using cubic spline interpolation to 30 FPS. We used the same retargeting procedure for all animations. Please refer to our supplementary video results which better convey temporal information.

5.3 Quantitative Results

In this section, we use five quantitative evaluation metrics for comparison: L_1 distance, PCK, FGD, SRGR, and CDR. We also use user studies to evaluate the performance of generating gestures. Thus, a total of six evaluation metrics are used to better evaluate our method in different aspects. Additionally, the detail definitions of these indicators are shown in the supplementary materials.

Anon. Submission Id: 70

Cultural Self-Adaptive Multimodal Gesture Generation Based on Multiple Culture Gesture Dataset

Methods	PCK (↑)			FGD (↓)			L_1 distance (\downarrow)					
	YouTube	TED	BEAT	Average	YouTube	TED	BEAT	Average	YouTube	TED	BEAT	Average
Seq2Seq	39.7	57.1	47.2	48.0	167.9	40.8	261.3	156.7	0.70	0.69	1.16	0.85
Speech2Gesture	54.5	67.2	51.8	57.8	105.4	30.1	256.7	130.7	0.67	0.64	1.13	0.81
Joint-Embedding	65.8	74.6	53.5	64.6	108.6	27.8	287.6	141.3	0.66	0.62	1.05	0.78
Audio2Gesture	56.7	72.9	54.8	61.5	78.6	21.7	223.8	108.0	0.61	0.59	1.05	0.75
MultiContext	67.4	75.3	56.4	66.4	40.5	6.2	176.2	74.3	0.56	0.57	0.98	0.70
ATG	67.0	78.3	58.1	67.8	37.1	6.2	156.4	66.6	0.57	0.53	0.92	0.67
CaMN	71.3	79.9	60.6	70.6	31.6	5.8	123.7	53.7	0.54	0.52	0.94	0.67
Ours	80.7	86.5	65.9	77.7	31.9	49	107.2	48.0	0 54	0.53	0.91	0.66

Table 2: Comparison of PCK, FGD and L_1 distance score one three diverse datasets. The best scores are reported in bold.



Figure 6: Sample results of cultural co-speech generation. (a) and (b) compare the generated gestures with Arabic and English. The results show Arabic prefers to use the right hand for more motions with the same multimodal inputs [15, 36]. (c) and (d) show examples of different cultures when speaking of "I" and future, respectively.

Percent of correct keypoints (PCK). Previous works mainly use L_1 regression loss of the different models in comparison. Yang and Ramanan [66] propose PCK as a widely accepted metric for pose detection. The main idea is to calculate the proportion of the predicted keypoints within the α maximum pixel of the groundtruth keypoints. Following Ginosar et al. [21] suggestion, the α is set to 0.2 in our experiments. We test our method and seven baselines in Tab. 2. Our method outperforms other methods and increases the state-of-the-art PCK by 10.1% (from 70.6 to 77.7).

Fréchet gesture distance (FGD). Yoon et al. [67] propose FGD to apply the concept of FID [27] to the gesture generation problem. Accordingly, they trained a feature extractor based on autoencoding [51], which can be trained in an unsupervised manner. After that, FGD measures the latent features' distance between the generated gestures and real human gestures. We adopt FGD as the measure of gesture quality, the smaller value the better. Our method improves the average FGD score from 53.7 to 48.0 across three large-scale benchmark datasets (Tab. 2). Meanwhile, we also show the learning curve comparisons of FGD, which are shown in Fig. 7 (b). Compared with other baselines, our method achieves state-of-the-art performance with faster convergence speed.

751 Semantic-relevant gesture recall (SRGR). SRGR [40] is to 752 evaluate the semantic relevancy of gestures, which can also be 753 interpreted as whether the gestures are vivid and diverse. SRGR utilizes the semantic scores as a weight for the PCK between the generated gestures and the ground truth gestures. Compared with L_1 and PCK, SRGR emphasizes recalling gestures in the clip of interest and is more in line with the subjective human perception of gesture's valid diversity. As shown in Tab. 3, our approach achieves the highest score and increases the SRGR from 0.239 to 0.248.

Culture Deception Rate (CDR). CDR calculates the rate at which the network classifies the generated gestures into the correct cultural features, inspired by deception rate [52] from style transfer [20]. The definition of CDR is introduced in Sec. 4.5 and the results are shown in Tab. 3. Our method achieves the highest score with a cultural self-adapt architecture, which no need to train a new network for a different culture.

Table 3: Results of SRGR (BEAT) and CDR (MCGD) comparisons with state-of-the-art models. Higher number indicates better performance. The best scores are reported in bold.

Methods	SRGR (†)	CDR (↑)
Seq2Seq	0.173	12.80%
Speech2Gesture	0.092	18.41%
Joint-Embedding	0.127	24.62%
Audio2Gesture	0.097	21.85%
MultiContext	0.196	29.97%
ATG	0.205	28.95%
CaMN	0.239	33.63%
Ours	0.248	39.87%

User study. Due to the gesture generation task being a highly subjective task, user study is widely adopted in the previous works [21, 40, 43, 56, 67]. Here we use two user evaluation metrics: gesture correctness and gesture-audio synchrony to evaluate the performance of each method. We select 20 audio-text pairs as the input of the above several compared methods, yielding 20 generated gestures for each method. We randomly ordered all the generated gestures and show them to participants and ask them two questions. The first is to evaluate gestures correctness, *i.e.*, physical correctness, diversity and attractive (more natural and human-like) [40]. The other is to choose the gesture motion which is more appropriate with the speech audio and words (gesture-audio synchrony) [67]. We collect 1,000 votes from 50 participants for each question and

MM '23, October 29-November 03, 2023, Ottawa, Canada

Anon. Submission Id: 70



Figure 7: (a) Human perceptual study. We asked participants to choose which gesture gets better performance on "gesture correctness" or "gesture-audio synchrony". Our model is rated best for generating correct and audio-synchrony gestures. (b) Validation learning curves measured by Fréchet gesture distance (FGD). Our method achieves state-of-the-art performance with faster convergence speed. (c) Diverse distance of Z^C from different cultures. Color gradients correspond to Euclidean distance on a held-out test set (higher is better). Each cultural features are diverse from others and our CSGN is culture-specific.

report the result in Fig. 7 (a), where we can see the results obtained by our method are more popular than those of other methods.

To summarize, our method can not only generate high-quality gestures based on audio and text, but also self-adapt to multiple cultures without training a new network. According to the user study, our method achieves both remarkable gestures correctness and gesture-audio synchrony.

5.4 Ablation Studies

In this section, we explore each component's effect on our method and validate their importance.

Text and audio encoder. Here, we compare the PCK and FGD values under different conditions in Tab. 4, including using different text and audio encoders, not fusing but only concatenating the features of audio and text, and the influence of different β_0 values. The results show that our method and architecture strike a balance by taking time cost and performance into account. For the β_0 , when the demand for semantic relevancy is high, we encourage the network to generate gestures spatially similar to ground truth as much as possible, thus strengthening the L1 penalty and decreasing the adversarial penalty. Each component we used benefits for extracting the features of input modalities.

Cultural self-adaptive module. In this part, we explore further experiments on the cultural self-adaptive module. We use different values of α and compare the performance with and without the average pooling layer in Tab. 5. We also calculate the diverse distance of \mathbb{Z}^M from different cultures in Fig. 7 (c). Both results show the effectiveness of our method.

6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, to increase the cultural influence on co-speech gesture generation, we first build MCGD, a large freely available gesture dataset over 200 hours with multiple cultures, to solve the lack of datasets with different cultures. Furthermore, to overcome the generalization and flexibility across different languages, we propose a CSGN to synthesize gestures based on three modalities and adapt to multiple different cultures without requiring retraining the network. Statistical analyses on MCGD show that we balanced

Table 4: The ablation studies on text and audio encoder.

		Train time	TED Gesture		
Met	hod	sec/epoch (\downarrow)	L_1 (\downarrow)	FGD (\downarrow)	
	0.1	-	1.03	30.1	
β_0 (ours=0.4)	0.3	-	0.85	10.3	
	0.5	-	0.52	4.9	
	0.7	-	0.51	8.9	
TCN (ours=4)	3	338.7	0.57	10.2	
	5	377.2	0.58	13.6	
E_A	MultiContext	327.9	0.57	9.2	
	ResNet50	635.8	0.54	6.3	
	Wav2Clip	629.3	0.52	4.8	
w/o	fuse	353.6	0.53	5.4	
Ours		359.2	0.53	4.9	

Table 5: The ablation study on cultural self-adaptive module

Method	l	MCGD CDR (↑)	Train time sec/epoch (\downarrow)	
	0.0	35.27%	350.9	
α (ours=0.5)	0.3	37.67%	-	
	0.7	39.56%	-	
	1.0	38.11%	348.1	
w/o average p	ooling	38.93%	351.0	
Ours		39.87%	359.2	

the datasets to cover a wide range of situations. It could benefit the research on comprehending relationships between multimodal data and developing naturalistic multimodal chatbots in different cultures. Extensive experiments demonstrate our approach is concise and effective, and improves the state-of-the-art average FGD from 53.7 to 48.0 and culture deception rate from 33.63% to 39.87%.

01	1
84	5
84	6
84	7
84	8
84	9
85	0
85	1
85	2
85	3
85	4
85	5
85	6
85	7
85	8
85	9
86	0
86	1
86	2
86	3
86	4
86	5
86	6

```
925
926
927
928
```

Cultural Self-Adaptive Multimodal Gesture Generation Based on Multiple Culture Gesture Dataset

MM '23, October 29-November 03, 2023, Ottawa, Canada

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043 1044

929 **REFERENCES**

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

- Chaitanya Ahuja, Dong Won Lee, Yukiko I Nakano, and Louis-Philippe Morency. 2020. Style transfer for co-speech gesture animation: A multi-speaker conditionalmixture approach. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XVIII 16. Springer, 248–265.
- [2] Chaitanya Ahuja and Louis-Philippe Morency. 2019. Language2pose: Natural language grounded pose forecasting. In 2019 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV). IEEE, 719–728.
- [3] Simon Alexanderson, Gustav Eje Henter, Taras Kucherenko, and Jonas Beskow. 2020. Style-Controllable Speech-Driven Gesture Synthesis Using Normalising Flows. In Computer Graphics Forum, Vol. 39. Wiley Online Library, 487–496.
- [4] Simon Alexanderson, Éva Székely, Gustav Eje Henter, Taras Kucherenko, and Jonas Beskow. 2020. Generating coherent spontaneous speech and gesture from text. In Proceedings of the 20th ACM International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents. 1–3.
- [5] Ghazanfar Ali, Myungho Lee, and Jae-In Hwang. 2020. Automatic text-to-gesture rule generation for embodied conversational agents. *Computer Animation and Virtual Worlds* 31, 4-5 (2020), e1944.
- [6] David F Armstrong, William C Stokoe, and Sherman E Wilcox. 1995. Gesture and the nature of language. Cambridge University Press.
- [7] Shaojie Bai, J Zico Kolter, and Vladlen Koltun. 2018. An empirical evaluation of generic convolutional and recurrent networks for sequence modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.01271 (2018).
- [8] Uttaran Bhattacharya, Nicholas Rewkowski, Abhishek Banerjee, Pooja Guhan, Aniket Bera, and Dinesh Manocha. 2021. Text2gestures: A transformer-based network for generating emotive body gestures for virtual agents. In 2021 IEEE virtual reality and 3D user interfaces (VR). IEEE, 1–10.
- [9] Lera Boroditsky. 2001. Does language shape thought?: Mandarin and English speakers' conceptions of time. Cognitive psychology 43, 1 (2001), 1–22.
- [10] Paul Bremner, Anthony G Pipe, Chris Melhuish, Mike Fraser, and Sriram Subramanian. 2011. The effects of robot-performed co-verbal gesture on listener behaviour. In 2011 11th IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots. IEEE, 458-465.
- [11] Alec Burmania, Srinivas Parthasarathy, and Carlos Busso. 2015. Increasing the reliability of crowdsourcing evaluations using online quality assessment. IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing 7, 4 (2015), 374–388.
- [12] Zhe Cao, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, and Yaser Sheikh. 2017. Realtime multiperson 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 7291–7299.
- [13] Justine Cassell, David McNeill, and Karl-Erik McCullough. 1999. Speech-gesture mismatches: Evidence for one underlying representation of linguistic and nonlinguistic information. *Pragmatics & cognition* 7, 1 (1999), 1–34.
- [14] Haibo Chen, Lei Zhao, Zhizhong Wang, Huiming Zhang, Zhiwen Zuo, Ailin Li, Wei Xing, and Dongming Lu. 2021. Dualast: Dual style-learning networks for artistic style transfer. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 872–881.
- [15] Lena Darweesh. 2010. Nonverbal Behaviour as Communication: The Arabian Coffee Making Ritual. International Journal of Interdisciplinary Social Sciences 5, 7 (2010).
- [16] Riccardo Del Gratta, Sara Goggi, Gabriella Pardelli, and Nicoletta Calzolari. 2021. Correction to: The LRE Map: what does it tell us about the last decade of our field? Language Resources and Evaluation 55 (2021), 285–286.
- [17] Ylva Ferstl and Rachel McDonnell. 2018. Investigating the use of recurrent motion modelling for speech gesture generation. In Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents. 93–98.
- [18] Ylva Ferstl, Michael Neff, and Rachel McDonnell. 2020. Adversarial gesture generation with realistic gesture phasing. *Computers & Graphics* 89 (2020), 117– 130.
- [19] Anna Jia Gander, Nataliya Berbyuk Lindström, and Pierre Gander. 2021. Expressing Agreement in Swedish and Chinese: A Case Study of Communicative Feedback in First-Time Encounters. In Cross-Cultural Design. Experience and Product Design Across Cultures: 13th International Conference, CCD 2021, Held as Part of the 23rd HCI International Conference, HCII 2021, Virtual Event, July 24–29, 2021, Proceedings, Part I 23. Springer, 390–407.
- [20] Leon A Gatys, Alexander S Ecker, and Matthias Bethge. 2016. Image style transfer using convolutional neural networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2414–2423.
- [21] Shiry Ginosar, Amir Bar, Gefen Kohavi, Caroline Chan, Andrew Owens, and Jitendra Malik. 2019. Learning individual styles of conversational gesture. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 3497–3506.
- [22] Edouard Grave, Piotr Bojanowski, Prakhar Gupta, Armand Joulin, and Tomas Mikolov. 2018. Learning word vectors for 157 languages. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06893 (2018).
- [23] Ikhsanul Habibie, Weipeng Xu, Dushyant Mehta, Lingjie Liu, Hans-Peter Seidel, Gerard Pons-Moll, Mohamed Elgharib, and Christian Theobalt. 2021. Learning speech-driven 3d conversational gestures from video. In Proceedings of the 21st

- ACM International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents. 101–108.
- [24] Edward T Hall. 1976. Beyond culture. Anchor.
- [25] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer* vision and pattern recognition. 770–778.
- [26] Gustav Eje Henter, Simon Alexanderson, and Jonas Beskow. 2020. Moglow: Probabilistic and controllable motion synthesis using normalising flows. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 39, 6 (2020), 1–14.
- [27] Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. 2017. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).
- [28] Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 1997. Long short-term memory. Neural computation 9, 8 (1997), 1735–1780.
- [29] Peter J Huber. 1992. Robust estimation of a location parameter. Breakthroughs in statistics: Methodology and distribution (1992), 492-518.
- [30] Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, Piotr Bojanowski, Matthijs Douze, Hérve Jégou, and Tomas Mikolov. 2016. Fasttext. zip: Compressing text classification models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.03651 (2016).
- [31] Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, Piotr Bojanowski, and Tomas Mikolov. 2016. Bag of tricks for efficient text classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.01759 (2016).
- [32] Vinothini Kasinathan, Aida Mustapha, and Chow Khai Bin. 2021. A Customizable multilingual chatbot system for customer support. Annals of Emerging Technologies in Computing (AETiC) 5, 5 (2021), 51–59.
- [33] Adam Kendon. 2004. Gesture: Visible action as utterance. Cambridge University Press.
- [34] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. 2013. Auto-encoding variational bayes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114 (2013).
- [35] Sotaro Kita. 2009. Cross-cultural variation of speech-accompanying gesture: A review. Language and cognitive processes 24, 2 (2009), 145–167.
- [36] L Viola Kozak and Nozomi Tomita. 2012. On selected phonological patterns in Saudi Arabian Sign Language. Sign Language Studies 13, 1 (2012), 56–78.
- [37] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. 2017. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Commun. ACM* 60, 6 (2017), 84–90.
- [38] Gilwoo Lee, Zhiwei Deng, Shugao Ma, Takaaki Shiratori, Siddhartha S Srinivasa, and Yaser Sheikh. 2019. Talking with hands 16.2 m: A large-scale dataset of synchronized body-finger motion and audio for conversational motion analysis and synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer* Vision. 763–772.
- [39] Jing Li, Di Kang, Wenjie Pei, Xuefei Zhe, Ying Zhang, Zhenyu He, and Linchao Bao. 2021. Audio2gestures: Generating diverse gestures from speech audio with conditional variational autoencoders. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 11293–11302.
- [40] Haiyang Liu, Zihao Zhu, Naoya Iwamoto, Yichen Peng, Zhengqing Li, You Zhou, Elif Bozkurt, and Bo Zheng. 2022. BEAT: A Large-Scale Semantic and Emotional Multi-Modal Dataset for Conversational Gestures Synthesis. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part VII. Springer, 612–630.
- [41] Reza Lotfian and Carlos Busso. 2017. Building naturalistic emotionally balanced speech corpus by retrieving emotional speech from existing podcast recordings. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing* 10, 4 (2017), 471–483.
- [42] JinHong Lu, TianHang Liu, ShuZhuang Xu, and Hiroshi Shimodaira. 2021. Doubledcccae: Estimation of body gestures from speech waveform. In ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). IEEE, 900–904.
- [43] Shuhong Lu and Andrew Feng. 2022. The DeepMotion entry to the GENEA Challenge 2022. In Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction. 790–796.
- [44] Michael McAuliffe, Michaela Socolof, Sarah Mihuc, Michael Wagner, and Morgan Sonderegger. 2017. Montreal forced aligner: Trainable text-speech alignment using kaldi.. In *Interspeech*, Vol. 2017. 498–502.
- [45] Costanza Navarretta, Elisabeth Ahlsén, Jens Allwood, Kristiina Jokinen, and Patrizia Paggio. 2011. Creating comparable multimodal corpora for nordic languages. In Proceedings of the 18th Nordic Conference of Computational Linguistics (NODALIDA 2011). 153–160.
- [46] Rafael E Núñez and Eve Sweetser. 2006. With the future behind them: Convergent evidence from Aymara language and gesture in the crosslinguistic comparison of spatial construals of time. *Cognitive science* 30, 3 (2006), 401–450.
- [47] Patrizia Paggio and Costanza Navarretta. 2011. Feedback and gestural behaviour in a conversational corpus of Danish. NEALT (Northen European Association of Language Technology) Proceedings Series (2011), 33–39.
- [48] Dario Pavllo, Christoph Feichtenhofer, David Grangier, and Michael Auli. 2019. 3d human pose estimation in video with temporal convolutions and semi-supervised training. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 7753–7762.
- [49] Vladimir Popescu, Lin Liu, Riccardo Del Gratta, Khalid Choukri, and Nicoletta Calzolari. 2016. New developments in the LRE map. In Proceedings of the Tenth

MM '23, October 29-November 03, 2023, Ottawa, Canada

Anon. Submission Id: 70

	nternational Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'16). 452	6-
	1530.	
[50]	Danilo Jimenez Rezende, Shakir Mohamed, and Daan Wierstra, 2014, Stoch	as

- [51] David E Rumelhart, Geoffrey E Hinton, and Ronald J Williams. 1985. Learning internal representations by error propagation. Technical Report. California Univ San Diego La Jolla Inst for Cognitive Science.
- [52] Artsiom Sanakoyeu, Dmytro Kotovenko, Sabine Lang, and Bjorn Ommer. 2018. A style-aware content loss for real-time hd style transfer. In proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV). 698–714.
- [53] Michael Studdert-Kennedy. 1994. Hand and Mind: What Gestures Reveal About Thought. Language and Speech 37, 2 (1994), 203–209.
- [54] Kenta Takeuchi, Dai Hasegawa, Shinichi Shirakawa, Naoshi Kaneko, Hiroshi Sakuta, and Kazuhiko Sumi. 2017. Speech-to-gesture generation: A challenge in deep learning approach with bi-directional LSTM. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Human Agent Interaction.* 365–369.
 - [55] Emmi Toivio and Kristiina Jokinen. 2012. Multimodal Feedback Signaling in Finnish.. In Baltic HLT. 247–255.
 - [56] Daniela Trotta and Raffaele Guarasci. 2021. How are gestures used by politicians? A multimodal co-gesture analysis. IJCoL. Italian Journal of Computational Linguistics 7, 7-1, 2 (2021), 45–66.
 - [57] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. 2017. Neural discrete representation learning. Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).
 - [58] Andrea Vidal, Ali Salman, Wei-Cheng Lin, and Carlos Busso. 2020. MSP-face corpus: a natural audiovisual emotional database. In Proceedings of the 2020 international conference on multimodal interaction. 397–405.
 - [59] Ekaterina Volkova, Stephan De La Rosa, Heinrich H Bülthoff, and Betty Mohler. 2014. The MPI emotional body expressions database for narrative scenarios. *PloS one* 9, 12 (2014), e113647.
 - [60] Petra Wagner, Zofia Malisz, and Stefan Kopp. 2014. Gesture and speech in interaction: An overview. Speech Communication 57 (2014), 209–232. https:

//doi.org/10.1016/j.specom.2013.09.008

- [61] Jason R Wilson, Nah Young Lee, Annie Saechao, Sharon Hershenson, Matthias Scheutz, and Linda Tickle-Degnen. 2017. Hand gestures and verbal acknowledg-ments improve human-robot rapport. In Social Robotics: 9th International Conference, ICSR 2017, Tsukuba, Japan, November 22-24, 2017, Proceedings 9. Springer.
 [100] 100 10
- [62] Bowen Wu, Chaoran Liu, Carlos Toshinori Ishi, and Hiroshi Ishiguro. 2021. Modeling the conditional distribution of co-speech upper body gesture jointly using conditional-GAN and unrolled-GAN. *Electronics* 10, 3 (2021), 228.
- [63] Bowen Wu, Chaoran Liu, Carlos T Ishi, and Hiroshi Ishiguro. 2021. Probabilistic human-like gesture synthesis from speech using GRU-based WGAN. In Companion Publication of the 2021 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction. 194–201.
- [64] Elizabeth Würtz. 2005. Intercultural communication on web sites: A cross-cultural analysis of web sites from high-context cultures and low-context cultures. *Journal* of computer-mediated communication 11, 1 (2005), 274–299.
- [65] Wilson Yan, Yunzhi Zhang, Pieter Abbeel, and Aravind Srinivas. 2021. Videogpt: Video generation using vq-vae and transformers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10157 (2021).
- [66] Yi Yang and Deva Ramanan. 2012. Articulated human detection with flexible mixtures of parts. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 35, 12 (2012), 2878–2890.
- [67] Youngwoo Yoon, Bok Cha, Joo-Haeng Lee, Minsu Jang, Jaeyeon Lee, Jaehong Kim, and Geehyuk Lee. 2020. Speech gesture generation from the trimodal context of text, audio, and speaker identity. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 39, 6 (2020), 1–16.
- [68] Youngwoo Yoon, Woo-Ri Ko, Minsu Jang, Jaeyeon Lee, Jaehong Kim, and Geehyuk Lee. 2019. Robots learn social skills: End-to-end learning of co-speech gesture generation for humanoid robots. In 2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA). IEEE, 4303–4309.